

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,042	06/21/2000	Esmaell Yousefi	22-0127	7782
23446	7590 01/19/2005		EXAMINER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			TRINH, TAN H	
SUITE 3400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			2684	1)
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/599,042	YOUSEFI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		TAN TRINH	2684
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty lory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b	on <u>15 June 2004</u> .)∐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	· ·	· And the second of the second
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 28-34</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11,17-19 and 28-34</u> is/are is/are object Claim(s) <u>12-16 and 20-22</u> is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. rejected. ted to.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
-	The specification is objected to by the		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)		ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Der No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 12-16, 20-22, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 12-16, 20-22 are allowed with the same reasons set forth in the previous Office action (paper # 10 mailed on 3-15-2004).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 17-19, 23-30 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (U.S. Patent No. 4,685,149) in view of Brooks (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,524).

Regarding claims 1 and 28, Smith teaches a method for power gating a downlink transmitter for transmitting a downlink beam frame signal (see figs. 1 and 5), the method comprising: transmitting to form a single frame (see col. 13, line 56-col. 14, line 4), Smith teaches the data transmitted with format on data words with bit counter field, parity bit and

Art Unit: 2684

address bit (see col. 13, lines 43-46) and when a power gating signal is active, removing RF power from the downlink transmitter when transmitting (see fig. 5, the control logic command controls transmitter power by gating transmitter exciter 114 turn On and Off, col. 13, lines 25-36), thereby reducing DC power consumption (see col. 24, lines 40-49). But Smith fails to show the at least a first header signal, a first payload signal, a second header signal, and a second payload signal.

However, Brooks teaches the at least a first header signal, a first payload signal, a second header signal, and a second payload signal (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 43). and col. 2, lines 3-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Smith system and by providing the teaching of Brook on the payload portions technique thereto in order to provide the power consumption because unused portions of the register are not energized (col. 2, lines 3-5).

Regarding claims 2 and 29, Smith teaches the hopping the downlink beam frame signal between at least two terrestrial cells (se figs. 1 and 2, col. 4, lines 14-26).

Regarding claim 3 and 30, Smith teaches the step of activating the power gating signal based on the terrestrial cell which the downlink beam frame signal is currently hopped (see figs. 1, and 5, col. 4, lines 65-68 and col. 13, lines 25-41).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 5 and 32, Smith teaches the step of activating the power gating signal in order to maintain at least one data queue on average approximately at preselected occupancy level (see col. 11, lines 35-68).

Regarding claims 6 and 33, Smith teaches wherein removing power from transmitter (see fig. 5, the control logic command controls transmitter power by gating transmitter exciter 114 turn On and Off, col. 13, lines 25-36) and Brooks teaches at least one of the first header signal, first payload signal, and first flush signal in combination, and the second header signal, second payload signal, and second flush signal in combination (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 2).

Regarding claim 7, Smith teaches removing power from the transmitter during transmission (see fig. 5, the control logic command controls transmitter power by gating transmitter exciter 114 turn On and Off, col. 13, lines 25-36), and Brooks teaches the first header signal, the first payload signal, the second header signal, and the second payload signal (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 2).

Regarding claim 8, Smith teaches removing power from the transmitter during transmission (see fig. 5, the control logic command controls transmitter power by gating transmitter exciter 114 turn On and Off, col. 13, lines 25-36), and Brooks teaches the first payload signal, the second header signal, and the second payload signal (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 2).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 9, Smith teaches removing power from the transmitter during transmission (see fig. 5, the control logic command controls transmitter power by gating transmitter exciter 114 turn On and Off, col. 13, lines 25-36), and Brooks teaches the first header signal, the first payload signal, and the second payload signal (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 2).

Regarding claims 10 and 34, Smith teaches removing power from the transmitter during transmission (see fig. 5, the control logic command controls transmitter power by gating transmitter exciter 114 turn On and Off, col. 13, lines 25-36), and Brooks teaches wherein a single frame a first header signal, a first payload signal, a second header signal, a second payload signal, at least one additional header signal, and at least one additional payload signal; in combination, and the additional header signal and the additional payload signal in combination (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 2).

Regarding claim 11, Smith teaches power-gating module for power gating a downlink transmitter that is configured to transmit a downlink beam frame signal (see figs. 1 and 5), a power gating module (see fig. 5, power gating (exciter 114)) and power amplifier (see fig. 5, power amplifier 116) for amplifying for transmission frame signals (see fig. 5, power amplifier 116, power gating (exciter 114) and TX data on fig. 16), and a power gating circuit coupled to the power amplifier (see fig. 5, power gating circuit 114 coupled to the power amplifier 116), the power gating circuit including a power gate input (see fig. 5, power gate input (TX key))

Art Unit: 2684

and responsive to power gating signal remove from the downlink transmitter during transmission (see col. 13, lines 25-36). But Smith fails to teach at least a first header signal, a first payload signal, a second header signal, and a second payload signal.

However, Brooks teaches the at least a first header signal, a first payload signal, a second header signal, and a second payload signal (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 43). and col. 2, lines 3-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Smith system and by providing the teaching of Brook on the payload portions technique thereto in order to provide the power consumption because unused portions of the register are not energized (col. 2, lines 3-5).

Regarding claims 17-19, Smith teaches the power-gating signal is active during transmission (see fig. 5, power gate input (TX key) and responsive to power gating signal remove from the downlink transmitter during transmission (see col. 13, lines 25-36)) and Brooks teaches when the first header signal, the first payload signal, the second header signal, and the second payload signal (see figs. 1-2 and col. 2, line 43-col. 3, line 2).

5. Claims 4 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (U.S. Patent No. 4,685,149) in view of Brooks (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,524) further in view of Trans (U.S. Patent No. 20030086515).

Regarding claims 4 and 31, Smith and Brooks fail to teach the step of activating the power-gating signal based on a statistical multiplexing estimate of downlink frame utilization.

Art Unit: 2684

However, Trans teaches the step of activating the power gating signal based on a statistical multiplexing estimate of downlink frame utilization (see page 54, session [0844 and page 56, session [0874]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Smith and Brooks system by provide the teaching of Trans on the power gating signal base on a statistical multiplexing estimate so that the delay bound guarantees on the packet delivered.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 17-19 and 28-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2684

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung, can be reached at (703) 308-7745.

Page 8

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent 9. Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh 🖤

Art Unit 2684

Jan. 06, 2005